Tetrahedron Letters No. **40,** pp 3713 - 3719, 1971. Psrgamon Press. Printed in Great Britain.

Photoelectron Spectra and Molecular Properties V^{-1})

THE PERTURBATION OF THE BENZENE π SYSTEM BY METHYLTHIO SUBSTITUENTS

Hans Bock and Gerhard Wagner 2) University of Frankfurt/Germany Jiirgen Kroner

University of Munich/Germany (Received in UK 28 June 1971; accepted for publication 3 September 1971)

In alkylthio substituted aromatic compounds the extension of the π system by the sulphur electron pair can be rationalized within a "p-model". Neglecting contributions from unoccupied sulphur 3d orbitals 3) reasonable correlations are achieved between HMO eigenvalues and half wave potentials $4)$ or charge transfer absorption maxima $^{4)}$ as well as between the results of PPP calculations and ultraviolet excitation energies $5)$.

We now wish to report the comparison of the photoelectron (PE) spectra of the corresponding mono- and $1,4$ -disubstituted methylthio and methoxy $6)$ derivatives of benzene. Interpreted in terms of Koopmans' $7)$ theorem, the experimental results confirm the orbital sequences deducible by perturbation arguments on the basis of the "p-model".

Qualitative πM O-schemes for thioanisole and anisole (Figure 1) suggest that the manner in which the degeneracy of the benzene $\mathsf{e}_{1\mathsf{g}}^{}$ molecular orbitals will be removed if a p-electron pair extends the π system, depends upon the ionization energy of the lone pairs relative to benzene (IE₁, $C_{\epsilon H_{\epsilon}}$ = 9.24 eV) ⁸). The symmetric e_{l σ} orbital, Ψ_{s} , should be lowered in thioanisole (IE_{l, CH3}SCH₃ = 8.67 eV)²⁾, but raised in anisole

Figure 1. Qualitative mMO schemes for thioanisole (I) and ani**sole (II) in comparison to their PE spectra**

 $(E_{1}, E_{H_3OCH_3} = 10.04 \text{ eV})^{-2}$, whereas the antisymmetric one, $\Psi_{A_{\text{S}}}$, should remain approximately constant.

The PE spectra⁹⁾ (Figure 1) strongly support the above conclusions. The slight deviations from equal splitting are probably due to inductive effects. Nevertheless the magnitudes of the energy differences, ΔIE (p_s- π ₁) \leq AIE $(\pi_{2}-p_{0})$, are in accordance with the general expectation that $(2p/2p)\pi$ -interactions should be stronger than $(2p/3p)\pi$ -type.

The splitting patterns for 1,4-disubstitution (Figure 2) are derived starting from the two combinations of the heteroatom p orbitals $n_x^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_x + p_x)$ and $n_x^- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_x - p_x)$, which due to the large distance d_{xx} should remain (nearly) degenerate. Mixing of these combinations with the benzene molecular orbitals of suitable symmetry - i.e., $\mathrm{n_{x}/\mathrm{F}_{s}}(\mathrm{e}_{1\mathrm{g}})$, and to comparable but opposite amounts, $n_x^+(a_{2u}$ and $n_x^+(e_{2u}$ - leads to four π energy levels (Figure 2).

The essential features of the qualitative splitting patterns are reflected in the low energy region of the PE spectra⁹) (Figure 2). Preceding the ionizations from the σ skeleton there are four distinguishable π bands in the methylthio derivative (III) and three in the methoxy compound (IV); the π_4 ionization energy of which is roughly estimated to be at least 10.25 + (9.25 - 7.90) = 11.6 eV. Again the antisymmetric $e_{1\sigma}$ benzene orbitals $\P^{\psi}_{\rm as}$ at 9.28 eV and 9.25 eV, respectively, remain unaffected. The ionization energies π_2 and π_3 correspond to the CH₃XCH₃ reference levels p_S and p_O - the observed deviations may be attributed to inductive effects or a slight lowering in energy of the n^+ combination due to n^-/n^+ splitting - and thus confirm the qualitative prediction of negligible delocalization of the n_x^+ substituent electron pair orbitals. Again the energy differences π_2 - π_1 are greater in the methoxy compound IV demonstrating the better overlap between 2p/2p relative to 2p/3p orbitals.

The "p-model" for interactions involving sulphur and oxygen electron pairs, as corroborated by the PE data, contributes as well to the understanding for other molecular properties as illustrated by the following examples.

Figure 2. Qualitative nM0 schemes for 1,4-bismethylthio (III) and dimethoxy benzene (IV) in comparison to their PE spectra

The absorption maxima of the charge transfer complexes between TCNE and $I^{4,10,11)}$, $II^{10,11,12}$, $IV^{10,11,12}$ fit into a linear regression with the vertical PE ionization energies obtained from alkyl and halogen substituted benzene derivatives

$$
v_{m}^{CT} = -4.183 \cdot 10^{4} + 0.733 \cdot 10^{4} \text{ IE}_{V} \qquad (1)
$$

Because this correlation is not valid for the n_X charge transfer complexes of aliphatic sulfides¹³⁾ and ethers²⁾, the molecular orbitals involved must be of π symmetry (cf. Figure 1 and 2).

The 1,4-bis(methylthio) derivative III exhibits only two CT-bands $^{\bf 4\, \prime \, 1\, 1)}$; according to the above correlation the one corresponding to the $\pi_{\mathbf{2}}^+$ level is missing $^{\mathsf{2)}}$. This can be explained by the forbidden charge transfer between the π ₂ donator orbital and the Y_{-1} acceptor orbital of TCNE:

The long wavelength CT maximum of III (v_{m}^{CT} = 14 800 cm⁻¹), deviating slightly from correlation (l), corresponds to an ionization potential $\texttt{IE}^{\texttt{corr}}_\texttt{V}$ = 7.74 eV. The higher experimental value (Figure 2: 7.93 eV) could be explained by a not fully planar conformation of the hardly volatile III under measurement conditions⁹⁾. Besides the PE bandshape (Figure 2) and the unexpected small energy difference between mono and disubstitution $(IE_1^I = 8.07 \text{ eV}; IE_1^{III} = 7.93 \text{ eV})$ this assumption is further supported by the ionization potential IE_V \sim 7.6 eV calculated from another linear correlation²⁾ with half wave oxidation potentials^{4,14)}

$$
E_{1/2}^{OX} = -3.545 + 0.629 \text{ IE}_{V} \tag{3}
$$

inserting the value $E_{1/2}^{OX} = 1.19$ V for 1,4-bis(methylthio)-benzene⁴⁾.

Information on the nature of the highest filled molecular orbital in the 1,4-disubstituted derivatives III and IV are supplied by the esr spectra of their radical cations¹⁵⁾. According to the McConnell equation $a_{\text{Hu}} = |Q| (c_{\text{Tu}}^{\text{HMO}})^2$ the proton coupling constants a_{Hu} are proportional (|Q| \sim 24 Gauss) to the square of the HMO-coefficient $c_{\mathcal{J}\mu}^{\text{HMO}}$ at the centers μ ; the expected value for the benzene e_{1g} orbital $\frac{v}{s}$ is $a_{H\mu} = 24 \cdot (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})^2 = 2$ Gauss. The experimental finding¹⁵⁾

clearly demonstrates that the $\mathbb{Y}_\mathbf{S}$ contribution to the highest filled molecular orbital predominates in the oxygen compound, whereas the higher spin density in the SCH₃ group points to the prevailing n_x^- electron pair character in the 1,4-bis(methylthio)-benzene (Figure 2).

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

References

- 1) Part IV: H. Bock and W. Fuß, Chem. Ber. 104. 1687 (1971).
- 2) Taken in part from the Ph. D. Dissertation of G.W.
- 3) c.f. for instance

R. Gerdil and E.A.C. Lucken, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 213 (1965);

N.M. Atherton and J.N. Ockwell, J. Chem. Soc. A 1967, 771;

R. Zahradnik in Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry (A.R. Katritzky, ed.) vol. 5, Acad. Press, N.Y. 1967, p. 1-67 and literature quotations given therein.

- 4) A. Zweig and J.E. Lehnsen, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 87, 2647 (1965).
- 5) J. Fabian, A. Mehlhorn and G. Troeger, Theoret. Chim. Acta 2, 140 (1967). *J* F.P. Billingsley II and J.E. Bloor, Theoret. Chim. Acta 11, 325 (1969).
- 6) A.D. Baker, D.P. May and D.W. Turner, J. Chem. Soc. B 1968, $22 \cdot$
- 7) T. Koopmans, Physica 1, 104 (1933); c-f. W.G. Richards, J. Mass Spectrometry Ion Physics 2, 419 (1969).
- 8) L. Xsbrink, O. Edquist, E. Lindholm and L.E. Selin, Chem. Phys. Letters <u>5</u>, 192 (1970).
- 9) The PE spectra were determined with a Perkin-Elmer PS 16 instrument ("He-I" lamp, electrostatic sector field 127°). Whereas the volatility of compounds I, II and IV enabled recording at room temperature, III had to be measured at $\sim 60^{\circ}$.
- 10) E.M. Voigt, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>86</u>, 3611 (1964)_; E.M. Voigt and C. Reid, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 3930 (1964).
- 11) A. Zweig, Tetrahedron Lett. 1964, 89.
- 12) A. Zweig, J. Phys. Chem. 67, 506 (1963).
- 13) W.M. Moreau and K. Weiss, Nature <u>208</u>, 1203 (1965), W.M. Moreau and K. Weiss, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 88, 204 (1966).
- 14) A. Zweig, W.G. Hodgson and W.H. Jura, J. Amer. Chem. Soc. <u>86</u>, 4124 (1963)_? A. Zweig, A.H. Maurer and B.G. Roberts, J. Org. Chem. 32, 1322 (1967).
- 15) W.E. Forbes and P.D. Sullivan, Can. J. Chem. 46, 317 (1968) and literature quotations given therein.